Previous references. 1896.

~~1897-98~~

(~~Rev Mis~~)

L.R

16.

File No. ~~234~~ of 1896-97

Serial Nos. 1 to 11

Later references.

P 752 of 99-00.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 11

Part B. for ~~1897-98~~ November 1901

SUBJECT.

Hypothecation of certain Vrithis of Jodi Benakanakere village Tipthur Taluk, to Non-Brahmins.

Table of Contents

From Ramaraya, Vrithidar of Benakanakere Village, Tipthur Taluk. Petition d/ 15-6-1897 Appeals against Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's endorsement No. 300 d/ 1-5-97, refusing to interfere in the matter of hypothecation of some of his Vrithis to Sudras, and praying for the cancellation of the alienation.

To the Deputy-Commissioner of Tumkur District. O.M. No. Pet/88 d/ 19-7-1897 Forwards the above appeal Petition No. 109 d/ 15-6-97 with its enclosure, for submission of the entire proceedings and full report of the facts of the case.

From the Deputy-Commissioner, Tumkur District. No. 203 d/ 6-9-1898. Submits his report called for on the petition of Ramaraya, Vrithidar of Benkanakere agrahar

To the Superintendent of Inam settlements in Mysore. No. 2522 d/ 22-9-1898 P. No. 296 Forwards petn No. 109 d/ 15-6-97 with its enclosure, and requests for a copy of the Inam Title deed issued in the case.

From the Superintendent of Inam settlements in Mysore. No. 33 d/ 10-11-1899. States that the Inam Title deeds are with the Inamdars concerned, and submits for perusal and return original settlement Register Sheets Nos. 117 & 118 upon which the Title-deed was prepared and issued.

G.R.S. 58

P.T.O

To the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore: No. 4061-P / Pet No. 509 d/ 2. 12. 1899.
Returns the original Registers, and requests that a copy of the same for current use may be forwarded early.

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore: No. 47 d/ 19. 12. 1899.
Forwards a copy of the Settlement Register connected with Benakanakere agrahara.

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District. No. 479 d/ 20. 11. 1900.
Submits further information relating to the hypothecation by some of the Jodidars of certain vrittis of the Jodi village of Benkankere, Tiptur Taluk.

To The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District, and The Secretary to Government Inzrai Department: Government Order. No. 6640-41 / Pet 7. 54-99 d/ 27. 2. 1901.
States that no orders need be passed about this mortgage, as under the present Inam Settlements, the alienation is valid, and cannot be questioned. Desires that the Inzrai Department will prepare a list of all agrahar Inams coming under the orders of 1880, with information of alienations and present enjoyers, and whether they are Brahmins or others, the Settlement date &c.

From Romaiah, Vrittidar, Benakanakere: Petition d/ 28. 9. 1901.
States that no endorsement has been given to him concerning the decision arrived at in reference to Endorsement No. 109 d/ 17. 7. 94 informing that his petition d/ 15. 6. 94, was forwarded to the Tumkur Deputy Commissioner calling for the records and prays for early disposal of his petition.

To Romaiah, Vrittidar, Benakanakere, Endorsement No. 1098 d/ 10. 11. 1901
Informs him that it is seen from the Deputy Commissioner's report that 15 and odd Vrittis have been hypothecated to Nanjegowda & Rangegowda by Venkatagirayya & other Vrittidars for Rs 2500/- by a proper Registration Deed, and that it is not possible to cancel the said alienation, seeing that it is in accordance with the present Inam Settlement in force, and that the same has been informed to the Tumkur D.C by G.O. No. 6640-41 / P.2 57-99 d/ 28. 9. 1901.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

The Dy Comr's report has been received.

The report shows that the Lands have been mortgaged to non-brahmins

The general question whether any alienation of lands in Agraharas can be legally prohibited & whether the Bl Comr's order of 1880 is not opposed to the Inam Rules has been raised in another case & further reference in this has been called for from the Inamdars &c

In this case it is doubtful if "Hypothecation" can come under "alienation"

Write to the Inam Supdt
by 21/9/96
Drafted
21.9.96

9893

Let me see a copy of the Inam Rules passed in this case
21/9

The Inam Supt has
forwarded in original the
Inam Register of enquiry
relating to the Jodi village
in question.
This Register shows that
the Inam (excluding the
Village minor Devadaya Inams which
are excluded from the assets
of the Village) is a personal
one & no condition of
service has been attached.
The question whether the Ch.
Comrs' Order of 1880 (P
77-78) prohibiting the
alienation of Agrahar Inams
to non-brahmins is valid
was raised by the 1st Comr in
another case & the file
has been sent to Rev
Cr & Dr — thy 29/11/89

Petition No. 109 of 15-6-97

Petitioner - Komaria Veilidar of Benkambere Tiptur Taluk

In these files there are papers relating to the following subjects:-

(1) Those relating to the claim of the Veilidars of the above village to the Mohatarfa tax. The matter having been discussed by Councillors Messrs. Cheekal Rao and Thamba Chettiar was submitted to the Dewan on 24-2-91. The papers were returned to office for being filed on 8-10-95.

(2) Those relating to the claim of Byadaroo one of the Veilidars for the amount he paid towards the arrears due for the village in 1893 on account of the Veilidars other

I observe this is the offence meant.

Whether is meant a list or a reference paper

No orders need be passed about this matter as under the present Inam settlement the alienation is valid and cannot be questioned.

But should the Mogasi applicant want a list made out of all agraharas Inams, under the order of 1880 - with information about alienations since - & whether the lands are shaking others, the settlement dept with regard to ordering 1880 & so on so that it may be decided whether any & what action is necessary to preserve such Inams in accordance with the intentions of original grantors. A special staff would be employed for this work & the cost

Vide Pro. No. 0312 P.7.58-94 of 21-11-94

this case the Govt. ordered that if the Byala Row was unwilling to the appropriation of Rs. 1185-5-11 towards the arrears, the said amount with proportionate interest thereon might be refunded to him and that steps be taken to proceed against the whole village for the recovery of the arrears.

(3) Those relating to the appeal of the Veettidars against the order of the Dy. Comr. directing the sale of the whole village in satisfaction of the arrears of Jodi. This case was disposed of by sanctioning the recommendation of the Dy. Comr. to the resumption of the village under Govt. management till the arrears are liquidated.

Vide Pro. No. 5455 P.7.54-99 of 25-1-01.

(4) Those relating to the appeal of Komaria one of the Veettidars against

interfere in the matter of the hypothecation of some of the Veettes to Sudras on the ground that they are not allowed to be in their enjoyment.

These papers have been separated for ready reference and formed into a separate file as the 1st Councillor has directed an office note to be prepared.

The Dy. Collr. to whom the above petition of appeal was referred reported that 15 3/8 out of the 33 Veettis in the village had been hypothecated to two persons of the Vakkaliyar caste for Rs. 2,500 - that the transaction being no more than a mere hypothecation without possession, none of the Veettis had actually passed into the hand of the aliences - that the Veettidars concerned had merely raised a loan on the security of the Veettis and could not therefore

Pet. 88 of 19-7-97

No. 203 of 6-9-98

be Vacd to have been absolved from their obligation to pray for the prosperity of the Founder and his family - that the obligation seemed to subsist with the Brahmin Vritti-dars so long as they derived any benefit from the Vritti lands - that by the mere fact of holding a lien on the hypothecated Vritti as security for his advance, the non Brahmin Alienee could not be considered to have stepped into the place of the Brahmin Alienor in the matter of the ob-ligation attached to the Vritti or Agrahar grant - that in that view he considered that no interference on the part of Govt. was called for and informed the Complainant Komaria accordingly -

In the Inam Register of enquiry relating to the village of Govt. from the Inam Superintendent it is shewn

and that no condition of service has been attached to it.

On the submission of these papers the 1st Councillor ordered :-

"The Settlement took place in 1873 that is to say seven years before the Chir/Commissioners' order of 1880 was issued.

It is not stated when the mortgage took place.

The exact date and the nature of the transaction with information as to whether it still continues may be ascertained".

The Dy. Comr. who was asked to furnish information on the above points reports that Veerakatiperia and Eight-others have jointly hypothecated their Estates to Hanifa Lowda for Rs. 2,500/= by a deed Executed in favor of the

No. 3095 of 12-10-00

No. 4790 of 20-11-00

Caller on the 28th September 1893 as
Security for a loan of Rs. 2,500/=
carrying interest @ 12% per
Annum and that the deed was re-
-gistered in the Jaffna Sub Registry
Office on 28-9-93 and that it is
reported that the hypothecation
still subsists.

BK
16/2/01

Submitted.
Stamp sufficient
12/7/97

1) In time
2) Stamp sufficient
3) Appeal against DC comm's order declining to interfere with a mortgage (without possession) according to the DC (comm'r) of certain Agrahara Vrittis
4) Not a criminal case
5) "Alienation" which is the word used in the standing order on the subject (Pages 77 & 78, Rev Manual) seems to be wide enough to include simple mortgage. At any rate, in case of village devra dram lands, lease for 30 years was considered to come under "alienation"

Sub to 2nd Consulta
13/7/97

6.30 Pm
7/97.

Call for report
with record
14.7.97

7.30 Pm
15/7/97

Office to submit Q. for publication
17/7/97

Section
File No 15
Order No

189 7

No. 109

Name of Petitioner Komaraya Vrittidar of Benakanakere Agrahara Dibbasatta Samati

Village

Taluk Tiptur

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 15-6-97

Date of Receipt 8-7-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the DC/Comm's Endt. No. 300, dt 1-5-97

States that Benakanakere Agrahara was given to Brahmins only, being divided into 33 portions or Vrittis, that some of the vrittidars have hypothecated given away, contradictory to the terms of the grant 15-3/8 of the vrittis to Sudras for Rs 2500/- with the object of finally leaving the lands in their enjoyment only, that this latter point can be proved by the fact that the said Sudras have been keeping up the jodi amount to Rs 1 for the last 3 to 4

Kandayans from ... and granting receipts for the same, and the as a proof of the Lando having given to their actual enjoyment; and that there is every probability of the Vrittis eventually passing into the hands of the said Sudras.

Prays that the said hypothecation and the consequent alienation may be cancelled.

10/7/97

Sd Colombine.

Dy Comm's Endt No 300, dy 1-5-97

Endt to Komaraiya of Benaka "Kere Agrahar"

Your petition on 30-3-96 urging that the hypothecation of the vrittis to Sudras was illegal. The Amildar's report No. 95-3, dy 2-4-97 and the records that came with it were read.

"It has been found that the said vrittis have only been hypothecated to the Sudras and not left to their enjoyment. There seems no necessity for the Govt Officers to interfere in the matter."

19/7/97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Section
File No
Serial No

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 109 dated 15-6-97 received at the Dewan's Office from Komaraiya Vrittidar Benakanakere Agrahara Dibbagatta Hobli Tiptur Tq appealing against Court's order No 300 dated 1-5-97 refusing to interfere in the matter of hypothecation of some of his Vrittis to Sudras and praying for its cancellation, is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
Dated 17th July 1897.

KK 7/7/97

(General Serial No. 30.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
1960
SEP 21898
SECRETARIAT OFFICE

189 8-99 Pet 121

Sub? to Comm's note attached to the petn
No 109) 20/9/98

From The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Genl & Rev: Depts: —

Dated 6th
Despatched 10th } September 1898
Received

Enclosures No. 1 Ver: file

Section
File No 16/96
Serial No 5

General No. 203.
No.

His official Memo No
Pet dated 19th July 1897
88

Reporting on the
petition of Komarigle
Vrittidar of Benakanhalli
Cyprian Tiptur Taluk

Petition re
12-9-98

Read 14/9

Ref: put up

AK 16/9/98 Submd

MG 18/9/98

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—6-3-97.—10,002.

Tanjore District

No 203 Deputy Commissioner's Office
Ent. Ver. file Dated, Tanjore, 6th September 1898.

To
The Secretary to the Government
of Fellyone, General and Revenue
Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official
Memo No: 88 dated 19th July 1897, I
have the Pet honor to submit herewith
the vernacular papers connected
with the Complaint of Komariah,
one of the Vrittadars of Benakana
Kere Jodi village in the Tiptur Taluk,
regarding the hypothecation of some
of the Vrities of the village to persons
who are not Brahmins, from
which it will be observed that
15 3/8 out of the 33 Vrities in the village
have been hypothecated to two persons
of the Vakkaligar caste for the sum
of Rupees 2,500. The transaction es
being no more than a mere
hypothecation, without possession,
none of the vrities has actually
passed into the hands of the

alienees. The Vrittidars concerned have merely raised a loan on the security of the vritties and cannot therefore be said to have been absolved from their obligation to pray for the prosperity of the grantor and his family. It seems to me that the obligation subsists with the Brahmin Vrittidars so long as they derive any benefit from the vritti lands. By the mere fact of holding a lien on the hypothecated vritties, as security for his advance, the non-Brahmin alienee cannot be considered to have stepped into the shoes of the Brahmin alienor in the matter of the obligation attached to the Vritti or Agrahar grant. In this view, I considered that no interference on the part of Government was called for and informed the Complainant, Komariah, accordingly. It is

What obligation?

against this order that the man has appealed to Government.

2. I am sorry for the delay which has occurred in submitting this report. It was due entirely to the omission of the District Shirstadar to bring the papers up for my orders.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Ummaiah Rao

Deputy Commissioner

Sl No 4 16 5

1898

From The Secretary to Govt
Genl and Rev Depts

Section	P.R.
File No	16-96
Serial No	4

To The Superintendent
of Inam Settlement
in Mysore

Dated 22.9
Despatched 22.9 Septr/98
Received
General No. 25-22 P.
P. No. 294

Enclosure No. Two

In forwarding herewith petn No 109 dated 15.6.97, with its one enclosure, for reference and obliging return, has the honor to request that the Inam Title deed issued in the case referred to in the petn may be forwarded to this office at an early date for the information of Govt

By order

C,
20.9.98

for Secretary

21.9.98

GOVT. OF MYSORE
No. 3135
NOV 14 1899
Pet 153

1899-1900

P.te 15.11.99

Rec'd 15/11

Refers putup

15/11

Subd

15.11.99

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Section
File No
Serial No

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. General
and Revenue Departments

Dated 10th
Despatched 11th } November 1899
Received

No. 33. of 1899-1900

Enclosure No. Nil 2.

Return the original Inam Rep.r & ask for a copy of it as experience shows that the originals are sometimes mislaid as in this case & not returned

29/11/99.

Draft docked putup.

30.11.99

His docket Petition 414 dated 7th Instant, enclosing for a copy of the Inam title deed issued for the village of Benakanakere in Agrahar Siptur Taluk.

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that the Title Deeds remain with the Inamdars concerned and are not kept in this office. The original settlement Registers (Sheet No. 117 and 118) received with Secretary's docket No. Petition 236 dated 4th September 1899, upon which the Title Deed was prepared and issued, are herewith submitted for perusal and obliging return.

Dr. R.

Supdt. of Inam Setts

Sl No 18 10

From 189 9

The Secretary to Govt
Genl and Rev Depts

To

The Superintendent
of
Inam Settlements
in Mysore

Section C
File No 10
Serial No 13

Dated 2-12-99

Despatched 2-12-99

December/99

Received

General No. 4061/P
Pet No. 509

Enclosure No.

His docket No 33 dated 10th November 99, forwarding the original Settlement Registers (Sheets Nos 117 and 118) of Benakanakere Agrahar in the Tiptur Taluk.

In returning the original registers received with the above, I have the honor to request that he will be so good as to forward for the

Current list
~~information about~~, a
copy of it, at an early
date, as it has been
found ~~that~~ by experience the originals
are sometimes mislaid
~~in this case and~~
~~are not returned~~

By order

for Secretary

30/10/99

Subd to Rev C & Ag
Mly
4.1.1900

With a rule to Nov
Repts (& no Spec
Mly

by 5/1/00

Sub to 1st Cr

by 10/9/00

4050
Pet 199

1899-1900

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Section C 9
File No 16 a 1
Serial No 1

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. General
and Revenue Departments

Dated 19th
Despatched 20th } December 1899
Received

No. 47. of 1899-1900

Enclosure No. One.

5.30 Pm
28.2.00
591

HC is docket No 4061 / Pets No 509 dated 2nd Instant.

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Settlement Register connected with Benakanakere Agrahara village, Dabbegatta Hobli, Late Turuvekere now in Tiptur Taluk.

Supt of Inam Setts

To 70.2m
11-9-00

The settlement work here in 1873 that is Inam revenue Settlement the Govt order of 1880 was passed — It is not stated when the Mahazar took place — The exact date and the nature of the transaction with persons as to whether it shall continues may be ascertained

26.IX.00 Mr.

120 foodrafts 9/10/00.
draft-docket put up 10/10

Pdi 2nd 2.25

RO 22/12/99
Repts put up

Please write

21

13

Registers Sheet No. 117. 118 & 119.

Village Benakonakere joddy
Hobli - Dabecuttle
Talook - Turvekere.

Ua

Copy ~~Register~~ Register of Inams in the Village of Jodi Benakanakere in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decima's.
1	2	3	4	5
1	Personal	Pymaishy of 1870		
(22361)		Particulars.	Area of the Village	
Title Deed No 62263			Cultivated Dry	
Date of Perpn 28-10-87			Wet	
Date of issue To Talook 28-10-87			Garden	
Revised Final Title Deed No 773			Cultivable Dry	
			Wet	
			Garden	
The village Benakana Kere, & its Dakhali 4 villages contain,			Village site & Hala-	
Houses — 142			Dry	
Looms — 7		The wet was irrigated by the Inamdar's land where it was in good order. The reservoir being breached 15 years ago	Total area	
Oil Mills — 1				
Shops — 2				
Population 520		X X cross is raised on the above mentioned wet land viz[t]	acres 82.18	
Subsequent alienation				
1 Swamia Goury devaroo		dry 1.42		
2 a. Basavanna devaroo		1.24		
3. B. Anjaneyadevaroo		4.21		
4. Chikkalenakanakere Anjeneya		4.70		
Note		Old extent as entered in the Revenue jamabandy accounts vide Division Commissioners' Roobkari No. 5 dated 13-4-74		
The Survey valuation of the Village gives to each fana of the old beriz Rs. a — 6 — which is adopted in valuing the Inferior Inams. 25-7-90			Krishnaraj K. K. P.	
		Dry	32 — 5 —	
		Wet	30 — —	
		Total	62 — 5 —	

(23) 65

Sammat of Dubecatte **in the Talook of Inwekere**

Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c., Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
2578.73 1148.81 77.98 6.82 142.23 —"— —"— yeh 4c —"— 1202.89 2578.73.	—"—	For personal benefit	Rupees 656-6-2.

acres
This 2578.73 is exclusive of the 2 pieces of land under dispute (Vide column 19) and no forest exists in the village, nor does it contain any part of the amount in ahakaval belonging to Government.

pagodas
Beriz of the village 362-6-" or Rupees 1054-13-11
Deduct amount of abolished taxes as ~~per~~ late Superintendent's order quoted in column 11 — 181-2-3
Balance 873-11-8
Add for prospective improvement 126-4-4
Total — 1000-"
adopted.

Ub

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
	Dewan Poornaiah's Sakid dated 1801 directing the Amildar to continue the Village to Soobba-bhatta & others (33 Vrittidars) on a jodi viz Pagodas 305-8-8 or Rupees 889-11-11. Mysore Maharajah's order dated 5th August 1813 directing the Amildar to collect from the 33 Vrittidars a sum of Pagodas 287-9-0 or Rupees 837-8-5. and strike off the rest of the jodi viz Pagodas 17-9-8 an account of a kurebundy & and abolished Inhabitants taxes, leviable from the Servants of Anchay and Amrutmahals Departments. Major Harry Superintendent of Chitaldroog's order No 22 of 10th June 1857 directing the Amildar to remit a sum of Rupees 181-2-3 from the jodi of the village on account of the marginally noted abolished items, and to collect from the jodidars the balance viz Rs 656-6-2.

⊕ 1 Heerali kana
2 Kattivali
3 House tax on Cultivation
4 Aru Sunka
5 Adhik-Amasali
6 Hunkalu kana

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14

Jodi account y 1821.
Beriz Cazodas 362-6-a
Jodi 287-9-"
Jari for the support of the Vritti dars & for abolished taxes.
74-7-a Vrittees 33.

Jodi account 1825. Jodi Cazodas 287-9-a
Jodi account 1830 Do — Do —
Jodi account 1829 — Do — Do —
Jodi account 1833 Asli village 1 and Dakli 4. Beriz Cazodas 305-8-"
Jodi Cazodas 287-9-" Vrittees 33.

1 Harikrishnabhat Vritty 1/4
2 Sooribhatta — 3/4
3 Ramabhat — 1
This party owns 7/8 Vrity
4. Narsimbhat — 1
This party owns 1/8 Vrittees
5 Somabhata — 1
6 Ramakrishnabhat 1
7 Krishnasastry — 1
8. Ramavadhanji's Dhanibhatta 1
9 Gungatharabhat 1
10 Poottabhatta 1
11 Kasibhatta — 1
12 Narayanashastry 1
13 Kapanibhatta 1
14 Ramasomayaji 1
15 Ramajoish — 1
16 Innimindabhat 1

18 Kasiharsimhabhat 1
19 Komarasastry — 1
20 Somabhatta — 1
21 T. Subbabhatta — 1
22 Dhanibhatta — 1
23. A. Krishnabhatta — 1
24. Narsimhabhatta — 1
25. Komaribhatta — 1/4
26. Nagabhatta — 3/4
27. Lakshimpatibhatta 1
28. V. Venkataramanabhatta 1
29. Timmabhat — 1
30 Ramabhatta — 1
31. Lingavadhani — 1
32. R. Narsimhabhatta 1
33. Gopalabhatta — 1
34 T. Ramabhatta — 1/2
35 A. Dhanibhatta 1/4
36. R. Narsimhabhatta 1/4
37. Kr. Ramabhatta — 1

These two parties are mentioned in the account of 1833 to have purchased Vritty from its original holder, Hanumbhatta's sunka

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Benakanakere.			
1. Narasimhabhatta 50 Soobbabhatta - 45 1/8	Party 4 in 1833 Brother of Do.	3 Sons 1 Do.	Party 1 in column 15 enjoys Vritees 1/8 from time immemorial, notwithstanding the account of 1833 bears mention of only one vritty vide villagers' remarks on statement.
2. Venkatagiribhatta 35 Seshammah - 40 2	Son of 5 in 1833 Second of Do.	" "	
3. Krishnappa 58 2	Grandson of 22 & cousin of 9 in 1833.	1 Son	
4. Ramabhatta 27 Narsimbhatta 18 Krishnabhatta 10 1/4	Sons of 10 in 1833	"	Parties 2 enjoy the own Vritty 2 do. 3 do. 1
5 Venkatasoobbabhatta 46 1/2	Cousin of 27 in 1833	2 Sons	
6 Narsimbhatta 40 Heriyabhatta 15 1/4	Son of party 13 in 1833 Grandson of Do.	" "	No. 4 do. Their own one 1 Their grandfather obtained in — mortgage 1/4 Vritty from 27 in 1833 but 70 years ago. The discendant of the mortgager gave a deposition to register it in the names of parties
7 Ramajois 45 Thammannabhatta 30 1	Same as 15 in 1833 Brother of Do.	" "	
8 Cootabhatta 36 1	Son of 15 in 1833		
9 Narsimhabhatta 45 Anantabhatta 35 Krishnabhatta 29 1/8	Sons of 8 in 1833	" " "	

(Continued)

15	16	17	18
Soobanna — ₹30 Ramiya — 25 Soorappa — 23 3/4	entered against the names of 34 & 35 in 1833		party 31 in 1833. Unstamped document of 1860 is produced & on which stamp penalty paid in 1801.
21. Honnibhatta 28 Heriny abhatt 25 Honnappa 45 Cootraniyaka 35 1/4	Grandsons of Sunkara bhatt, who is said to have sold his vritti to parties Nos 33. 34 & 36.	1 Son	Party 12 his own Vrittis — 2 No 13 do — 2 No 14 - do — 2 No 15 - do — 2 No 16 - do — 2 No 17 do 1/8. Party 18 do 1/2 6 parties 19 Their own vritty 1
22 Cootaunnah 38 7/8	Sister in-law of 32 in 1833.		
23 Comaribhatt — 50 Narsimbhatt 14 Venkatanarayana 50 7/8	same as 25 & Son of 32 in 1833 Nephew of 25 in 1833 do	1 Son 4 "	Parties 20 in column 15 are said to have been enjoying 3/4 Vritty from time immemorial notwithstanding the account of 1833 bears a mention that their Cousin sold the property to Nos 34 & 36 in Column 13
24. Krishnabhatt — 20 Gungatharabhatt 8 Lippubhatt — 55 Eeramm bhatt — 40 Guravabhatt 30 1/2	Son of Aliened do Nephew of do do do	" " " "	
25 Lukshminarappa 35 1	Son of 2 in 1833	1	Parties 21 in col. 15 enjoy 1/4 Vritty, which the account of 1833 proves to have been sold to one Narsimha Bhatt No 36 in Column 13. The Inahajanmas of the village also
26 Soobabhatt 45 3/4 27 Soobabhatt — 30 Lukshminarayanabhatt 45 Ramakrishniah 5 1/2	Grandson of 26 in 1833 Grandson of alienee do Great grand	2 Sons 1 Son do	

(Continued)

15	16	17	18
			state that the incumbents 21 in column 15 have been enjoying 1/4 Vritty for very many yc ars.
			No 22 in column 15 enjoys her own vritty 7/8.
			No 23 in column 15 7/8. Their own vritty 3/8. The deceased father Comaribhatt 23 purchased 1/2 Vritty from party 30 in 1833 for 6 Pagodas 20. The deed of sale dated 1834 is produced.
—	—	—	No 24 own vritty 1/2 The deceased father of Krishnabhatt (24) obtained in gift 1/2 of a vritty from the grandfather of 30 in 1833. Deed of gift dated Salivahana 1560 or A.D 1648 is produced.
			No 25 The deceased father of the party 25 in column 15 purchased of a vritty for 6 Pagodas 13-3-0 Deed of sale dated 1835 is produced. The enjoys Vritty 1 in all.
			The party 26 enjoys 3/4 Vritty
			The party 27 in column 15 enjoy 1/2 Vritty. His grandfather purchased it for 6 Pagodas 26-8-0 from the great grandson of party 3 in 1833. Stamped document dated 1840 is produced.

22

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the superintendent of the division and appeals regarding validity or excess of the Inams, Assistant's opinion & recommendation

19

Whole grant.

The Inamdars having failed to appear before this office for settlement although they had received notice on 25th August 1870, this village should be entered in the list of Inams to be placed under attachment, pending the attendance of the absentees.

[Signed] C. Soobbrow
Assistant

22-10-70

The holders of 31¼ vrities having now filed the usual statements their Inams are duly registered. There are more 1¾ shares remaining undisposed of in consequence of the absence of parties. The villages being under attachment, the incumbents 1 to 26 in column 15 pray that their lands may be released.

The Inamdars complain that the Amrut Mahal Kavulgars and Ryots of the Dubbecatta Sircar village have encroached upon their joody lands. From the records connected with the case and the Survekere Amildar's Enquiry No. 472 of 18th February 1871, it appears that, of the marginally noted 2 pieces of land, No. 1 has been in the enjoyment of the Amrut Mahal Department from 1861 while the question respecting the No. 2 has been in abeyance for the last 21 years. In 1849 the dispute being brought to the notice of Captain Dobbs, he issued an order No. 222 of 27th June 1849 to the effect that he would settle the matter on personal inspection of the spot during his next tour through the Taluk; and that in the meantime neither of the contending parties be allowed to cultivate the land

⊕ No. 1. Near the Amrut Mahal Kaval, in the South west of the Govt. Village. Belonging to Govindry of Sirkar village
No. 2 Near the Govindry of Sirkar village Dubbecatta in the north side of the Govt. village

15	16	17	18
10 Ramabhatt 25 / 1	alience	"	No 5 enjoys his own vritti ½
11 Hiriyabhatt 50 Karanabhatt 36 1⅞	alience	" 1 Son	Party 6 do ½ Purchased for 6 agodas 30 ½ from the person to whom ¼ vritti was sold by the party 27¼ in 1833. The document is said to have been lost. The Mahajanmas represent the allegation to be true. 11¼
12 Krishnabhatt 40 / 2	Son of 21 & nephew of 12 in 1833	"	
13 Soobabhatt 20 / 2	Grandson of 20, and nephew of 14 in 1833		
14 Soobabhatt 7 / 2	Cousin of 23 & son of 19 in 1833	"	
15 Dasibhatt 35 / 2	Son of 17 & cousin of 18 in 1833	1 Son	
16 Krishnabhatt 28 / 1	Son of 24 in 1833	"	No 8 enjoys his own 1
			No 9 do. 1
17 Venkatramanaiah 85 / 1⅞	Same as 28 in 1833 & cousin of 29 in do.	"	The party 10 in Col 15 obtained in gift one vritti from the cousins of party 6 in 1833 Stamped document of 1868 is produced
18 Gopalabhatta 40 Cheluvabhatt 26 1½	Descendants of 33 & nephews of 32 in 1833	1 Son "	
19 Kasipataiya 40 Soobanna 35 Krishnappa 25	Nephews of 37 in 1833	1 Son " "	Parties 11 in Col 15 obtained in gift from the widow of 11 in 1833 her own vritti as well as ½ Vritti, which her husband purchased from
20 Cootiah 45 Nunjundaiya 40 Nunjundaiya 18	Cousins of Sankarabhatta owner of Vritti		

attachment pending final disposal. Cushmigal Nagappa is in possession of 3/8 Vritty, & [redacted] Benakinakere Narsimbhat and his brother 7/8 vritty. The usual notice was served on 25th August 1870 either Nagappa, nor his agent did appear. In May last, Narsima Bhatta attended the office alleging that 7/8 Vritty alluded to above was mortgaged to his ancistor by its owner, & the agent of Benakinakere Venkataramaniah who was also present at that time questioned the Narsimbhatt's right on the plea that Venkatramaniah was the owner of the Vrittys, and that his nephew son Narsimbhatt had usurped it, during his absence at Sreerangapatam. Then I directed both the disputants to bring a regular suit. They did not do so, notwithstanding 7 months have since elapsed. (Vide Narsimiah & Venkat-ramiah's urzees Nos 384 & 385 filed in the accompanying correspondence. On the receipt of the Inam Commissioner's Subkary No 198 of 20th June 1871, the Amildar was directed to furnish the necessary particulars to answer the queries therein asked. From his reply No 242 of the 19th December 1871, it appears that the adjacent Sircar villagers now point-ed some more pieces of land (acres 86.70) than what they had last year to be under dispute, & that an amicable settlement cannot, therefore, be effected untill the Inam Village was surveyed by a measurer, & its boundaries properly marked. To get this done, I have to send any Surveyor, but before the completion of the Inalnad work, his services cannot conveniently be spared. For these reasons, I submit the marginally noted papers for such orders, as the Inam Commissioner ⊕ × × × may prefer on the subject.

28-12-71.

Signed C. Soobiah
Assistant

Under the circumstances represented, I recommend that Mr. Subbiah may settle this case on his way from the Inalnad Talukas. The question of the boundary should be satisfactorily settled.

Approved. 28-3-72 Signed Robt. Cole Supte

20-3-72 Signed B. Gopaliah Shet asst

⊕ Roobkary No 583 with its 3 enclosures — 1

Venkatramiya's Petition No 300 received
With the Inam Commissioner's docket No 85/118 of 4th July 71 — 1

Narsimiah's petition No 466 received with No
146 of 11th August 1871 — 1

Venkatramiah's petition to this office No 385 — 1

Narsimiah's petition to the address of this office No — 1

Vernacular correspondence ending with the
Surveyor Amildar's report No 242 with
9 enclosures — 1

Loobbabhatt and others statement No 800,
Connected with half p Vritty registered
against parties 27 in column 15. — 1

1

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

land in question, nor Please see elsewhere
graze their cattle thereon about 2 years ago, the jovidars
cultivated a small piece of land near the disputed boundary
on the plea that it was not included in the land in
question; but the produce thereof was on the complaint
of Dubbecatte Ryots, directed by the Amildar to be stopped,
pending disposal of the boundary question. None of the
Division nor District officers have hitherto visited the
spot, or made any final settlement. To establish that
the disputed land lies within the boundary stones, mentions
in the copper sasanam purporting to be granted by
Hurrihur Maharajah, one of the chiefs of Anegundi, some
492 years ago, the Inamdars have produced a measure-
-ment account dated 1848. Some marks therein
mentioned corroborate with those in the copper Sasanam.
The Ryots of Dubbecatta having also complained in
their petition Rc 125 to the address of this office that the
jovidars had tampered with the boundary, I issued a
notice directing them to appear before this office to
establish their claim within 15 days from the date
of its service. The report of the Amildar Rc 14 shows
that the notice was duly served on them on the 31st
March 1871. However, none of the complainants has made
his appearance up to date. Under the circumstances,
I find that this boundary dispute cannot be
satisfactorily

/Column 19 continued/

decided/ untill the joodidars, or parties affected file a suit to establish their claim in due course of law.

To be confirmed on 1/8 Quit-Rent plus the existing the joodi viz^t x x x x 656-6-2

1/8 Quit Rent on the deffernce. —— 42-15-3

Amount of joodi payable to Sircar on excluded Inams alluded to in cases 2 & 9 } 22-12-0

Total 722-1-5 or

722-—-—. Agreed to.

/Signed/ C. LoobRow.
Assistant

3-5-71.

The whole joodi village with the exception of 1 3/4 x vrittiers to be disposed of on the appearance of their holders may be released from attachment.

x 1/2 of this is registered to partiges &c 27 which from their Statement on 16th August 1871. /Init/ C.L. 4-10-71

/Signed/ C. LoobRow
Asst.
3-5-71

Boundaries of the Joodi village.

East - Goorla Mutt, Beichanahully & Coora village boundaries and Sasana stone.

South - Koodahally Codasalamana Goodi & Ammutanahally boundaries & Stones.

West - Ammutanahal Kavul boundaries - & Stones.

North Hindumaranahally and Dabbecutte village boundaries.

Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.

20.

Confirmed on an interim Quit-Rent of Rupees 7 22, subject to Local Fund and Irrigation cesses. Sayer and abkary are reserved as also the right to Sandal and Teak.

2 All boundary disputes will be disposed of by the Survey Department.

/ Signed / Robt. Cole
Supt. of Inam Settlt.

29-1-73

The joodidars have agreed to pay the ad-interim Quit-Rent, and they do not want a detailed Survey.

Vide Amil's Urzees Nos. 50, and 358 dated 21st December 1872 and 3rd March 1873 respectively.

/ Insld / J. Pinckle
28-7-73
Offg. Supt. of Inam Settlt.

1 Are the 3/8 of Kunigal Nagappa still under attachment? If so why were they not resumed?

2 When the village was confirmed and Quit Rent Register. Rent to the Amil, was to informed that these 3/8 of a vritty were under attachment.

/ Insld / Robt. Cole
Supt. of I. S.

5-1-76.

1 Vide account Department Memo. No. 39 of 17th January 76.

2 Call on Amil to report whether the 3/8 of a vritty is still under attachment.

/ Insld / Robt. Cole
Supt. of I. S.
18-1-76.

26 34

/Column 20 continued/

Amil written to on 18-1-76.
Reminder sent No. 644 dated 17-1-78.
In reply the Amildar reports that the 3/8 of a Vritty in question is still under attachment and the produce of the lands is with the Ryot who Cultivating it. Vide Amils anze No. 3 of the 6th April 1878.

13-4-78.
As the whole village has been confirmed and the Suit-Rent Register been issued, the 3/8 of a Vritty are released and confirmed, the produce under attachment being credited to Government.

/Signed of Robt. Cole
Supt. of Inam Settls

19-7-78.
Docket to Commissioner and Nundidroog Division prepared and submitted and Hookum to Amil of Kadab prepared and submitted.

4-8-78.
Docket to Commissioner of Nundidroog Division for correction in the Suit-Rent Register prepared and submitted.

14-8-78.

Revised as per the Government Proceedings No. 4701-9 dated 2-9-81.
133
Vide the statement received with Survey Superintendent's No. 799 dated 22nd December 1882.

	A. G.	Rs a P.
Total area and assessment	3257-24	1370-12-4
Deduct for 7 Minor Inams	36-7	50-10-4
Balance	3221-17	1320-2-4

/Column 20 continued/ 93 29

Particulars.

		A.	g.	Rs.	a.	P
Dry	Cultivated	1112	17	1049	6	0
	Culturable (waste)	523	30	100	—	— (1/4 assd.)
	Cultivated Garden	57	16	147	—	—
	Inculturable	1527	34	23	12	—
	Total	3221	17	1320	2	—
Former jody				656	6	2
1/8 Quit-Rent on the difference of Rupees 663-11-10				82	15	6
	Total			739	5	8 or

avoiding fractions Rupees 739 — — —
Finally confirmed accordingly.

/Signed/ J. P. Grant
Supt. of Inam Settls

25-7-90

"Copy"

Compd.
Bangalore
dated 19th December
1899

Supt. of Inam Settls

vide Reput no 480-9/22 Nov 00

Sec No 8

(General Serial No. 30.)

(21)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G. No. 3682
NOV 7 1900
Sec No 173
REVENUE OFFICE

1900—

From

The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkur Divn

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Rev. Depte

Section
File No
Serial No Dated 20 } November 1900.
Despatched 24"

Received

General No. 479 } 1900-1
No.

Enclosures Number. 1 file & copy.
His docket No: 3095 dated
P. H 54-99
12th October 1900.

Submitting further information relating to the hypothecation by some of the Jodidars, of certain Vrittis of the Jodi village of Benkankere, Tiptur Taluk.

Subd to the 1st Assn.

The Office note & the Commissioner's order to which this is a reply are also found in the file.

Dass.
12/12/00.

Rd 7 Pm
12.12.00.

This is a very important question. Let me have one connected note so that the whole question may be comprehensively considered

26.12.00

Rec'd from Council Clk with notes 16-1-01
Ch Parcel to Bot 4 Pm
Hangal Camp
3 Pm - 21-1-01

To Mr B Krishniengar for Office note
21-1-01

Returned as the file is incomplete. BK
21-1-01.

Office note put up.
16/2/01.

Subd. with Office note

Rd 8 Am
19/2/01.

18.2.01 Returned to the 1st Assn with an office note. Dass
19/2/01.

Rd 11 Am
27.11.01
Rd 28-11-1900 Refer put up

No 479
Gen. I file
Jo

Camp Kibbanahally 20th November 1900

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and
Revenue Departments
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No 3095 dated 12th ultimo, calling for
PF 54-99
information relating to the hypothecation
by some of the Jodidars of certain
writers of the Jodi village of Benakana
Kere, Tiptur Taluk, to one Brahmins,
I have the honor to state that, Venkata
-poriah and 8 others, holders of 15 3/8 ths,
out of 23 writers comprised in the
village, have jointly hypothecated
their estates to Venje Gowda and
Ranje Gowda for Rs: 2,500/- by a deed
executed in favor of the latter on the
28th September 1893, as security for a
loan of Rupees 2,500/, carrying interest
at 12% per annum. A Copy of the deed
is enclosed for perusal.

2. The deed was registered in the
Tiptur Sub Registry office on the

3 D 30

that the by publication state subscribed

3. The memorandum file herewith the Lifter dated Attila No 193 dated 8th November 1900 is herewith submitted for reference and favour of return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

for Deputy Commissioner

hhs

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore.



Read:

Petition of appeal No 109 dated 15-6-1897, presented by Komaraiya one of the Kulkarnis of Benakanakere Agrahar in the Tiptur Taluk, against the order of the Deputy Comr, Tumkur declining to interfere with the hypothecation of certain Kulkas of the said village to non-Brahmins by the holders thereof.

2) Correspondence ending with the letter No 479 dated 20.11.00, from the Deputy Comr, Tumkur reporting that Venkatagiriah and eight others holders of 15 3/8 kulkas out of 33 Kulkas comprised in the

village, ~~lands~~ jointly hy-
-pothecated their Vrittis to in 1893
Nanje gowda and Ranga
gowda for Rs 2500/. by duly
registered deed; and that
the hypothecation still
subsisted.

order thereon No 6640-41 of 27 February
Pet 754-99 27 1901
(1247)

1). Orders need be
passed about this mortgage
as under the present Inam
settlements the alienation
is valid and cannot be
questioned.

2). The Govt however, de-
-sire to have a list made
out in the Mysore Deptt
of all Agrahar Inams
coming under the order of
1880, with information about
alienations and present
enjoyers, and whether the
latter are Brahmins or others
the Settlement date with
reference to the order of
1880 and so on, to enable
~~the~~ Govt to decide whether
any and what action
is necessary to preserve
such Agrahara Inams
in accordance with the

intentions of original grantors. + Special officials should be appointed for this work and the list prepared and submitted within the next three months.

C1
21.2.01

for Secretary

25/2/01

1). 20. The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur

2) The Secretary to Govt Revenue Dept.

Reference

5-10-01 2 2 8 5 0 0 - 88 Hl no 10

899 - Pet - 28-8-99

75

8 25 Genl Records.

Please put up Memo

0 No. 75 / 28-8-99.

Mem. Boo:—

The rep: resp is traced to

at 235 / 4 / 9 / 99, for it there is

slip lift by Petn Boo to show

that the same having been

submitted to usal Secy for

seeing the records as pertain

made on the Memo Slip

8/10/01 RB, 8/10/01

Please see in Lush

cases in the Registers for

tracing the rep: from

D. 7. 54-99-6 which the rep:

resp and traced was put up

with Cf no 6315 / 10-3-01 from

D. 7. Tumkur R 3851 no 852

8/10/01

Rev. Br.

Please put up the above

file

Petn Br

file put up

CW.

10/10/01

Ruled &c with reference to serial

no 1 / 9 & the file A part-I.

15-10-09

Give the name and

address of the under above

Secretary to

1901

No. 1098

Name of Petitioner Komariah

Vattidar

Village Benakanakere Agrahara

Taluk Kiptur

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 28.9.01

Date of Receipt 2-10-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

Section

File No

Serial No

ABSTRACT

With reference to this office Endorsement No 109 dated 17-7-1897 informing that his petition dated 15-6-97 to the effect that Benkkanakere Agrahara which was given to the Brahmins, had been resumed or given to others, was forwarded to the D.C. Bengaluru to send the records, stated that no endorsement has been given to him concerning the decision arrived at & requested for early disposal of his petition

HB

4/10/01

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

THE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
DEPARTMENT.
NO. 1098
DATE 2 — 10 — 90
1098

Section
File No
Serial No

3వ

మీరు మీ కొల్లంపల్లి వస్తారో వస్తారో వివరాలు

మీ లక్ష్మీ వివరాలు గుళ్ళు 15 చొ లోపల వస్తే

గుళ్ళకు నంచి సాధారణంగా మీ సాధారణంగా

2500 రూపాయలు అంగళ్ళకి గురించి

మీ రచ్చ కొల్లు అంద కొత్త వివరాలు

ర ఆధారాలు మీ కలు రాత్రి లోపు

మీ లక్ష్మీ రక్షణ మీ పోలీసు అంద కరం లు

పెండ్లి కి వచ్చిన వారికి సదుపాయాలు

సమీక్షలు కూ లి ఖర్చులు

వ ఇ నాం మీ పల్లె మీ పు గుళ్ళకి

ఆధారాలు. ప్రకారవరణ పరిస్థితి

ర పత్రికలు వార్తలు ఫొటోలు వారి రూపాయి

గి ఆదాయం. చిన్న రాష్ట్ర విలువ లు

(మెమో 27-2-1901 నాటి సంఖ్య 6640-41 కొత్త 54-99) గ్రామ కొల్లంపల్లి అంగళ్ళు ఆదాయం వివరాలు

అంగలాంతం కొల్లంపల్లి కొత్తపల్లి

పంపిన 31. 10. 1901. వరకు బాకీ పడ్డాయి

By Order

Sd/-

Jon Secretary